Test Bank for Criminal Law 1st Edition by Russell Brown Davis ISBN 1412977894 9781412977890 Full link download Test Bank:

https://testbankpack.com/p/test-bank-forcriminal-law-1st-edition-by-russell-brown-davisisbn-1412977894-9781412977890/

Type: E

- 1. Explain the doctrine of incorporation.
- *a. Through the Fourteenth Amendment, the states are bound by the Bill of Rights. This is known as the doctrine of incorporation.
- @ Type: SA; Learning objective: Know the constitutional guarantees protected by the Bill of Rights; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Introduction, pp.27
- 2. Which of the following amendments includes protections and guarantees that exist at the heart of democracy?
- *a. First Amendment
- b. Second Amendment
- c. Fourth Amendment
- d. Eighth Amendment
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Know the constitutional guarantees protected by the Bill of Rights; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: First Amendment, pp.28
- 3. Which of the following rights is not promised in the First Amendment?
- a. Free speech *b. Speedy trial
- c. Freedom of press
- d. Right to petition the state for redress
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Know the constitutional guarantees protected by the Bill of Rights; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: First Amendment, pp.28
- 4. The right to speak freely is the bedrock of a democratic society. *a. True b. False
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Freedom of Speech, pp.28

- 5. Free speech is not protected in which of the following societies? *a. Totalitarian and Militaristic b. Totalitarian and Democratic
- c. Democratic and Militaristic
- d. Democratic and Communist
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Freedom of Speech, pp.28
- 6. The First Amendment prevents the government from restricting expression base on its
- a. ideas.
- b. subject matter.
- c. message. *d. All of the above
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Freedom of Speech, pp.28
- 7. Freedom of speech and expression encourage people to speak freely without fear of punishment. *a. True b. False
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Knowledge; Answer location: Freedom of Speech, pp.28

Type: E

- 8. What did the U.S. Supreme Court rule in *Brandenburg v. Ohio* (1969). *a. The Court ruled that Brandenburg's speech is not protected under the First Amendment because his advocacy might lead to a violent public eruption. @ Type: SA; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Knowledge; Answer location: Freedom of Speech, pp.29
- 9. Symbolic speech must be designed to communicate a message. *a. True b. False @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.31

Type: E

- 10. Identify the key issues that are generally at the root of flag burning.
- *a. According to the authors, individuals who have resorted to flag burning are doing so because of their discontent with U.S. laws, policies, or practices.
- @ Type: SA; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.31

- 11. Which of the following cases involved the burning of a draft card?
- a. Tinker v. Des Moines (1969)
- b. Schacht v. U.S. (1970) *c. U.S. v. O'Brien (1968)
- d. Brown v. Louisiana (1966)
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.34
- 12. Which of the following cases involved the burning of a cross?
- a. U.S. v. Grace (1983)
- b. Spence v. Washington (1974)
- c. Texas v. Johnson & U.S. v. Eichman (1989, 1990) *d. R.A.V v. St. Paul & Virginia v. Black (1992, 2003)
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.34
- 13. Which of the following cases involved the refusal to salute the U.S. flag?
- *a. W. VA State Board of Ed. v. Barnette (1943) b. U.S. v. Grace (1983)
- c. Spence v. Washington (1974)
- d. Schacht v. U.S. (1970)
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.34
- 14. Which of the following cases involved picketing outside of the Supreme Court? a. *Schacht v. U.S.* (1970)
- b. Spence v. Washington (1974)
- c. Texas v. Johnson & U.S. v. Eichman (1989, 1990)
- *d. *U.S. v. Grace* (1983)
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.34
- 15. Which of the following cases involved the wearing of a military uniform to protest war?
- a. Brown v. Louisiana (1966)
- b. Tinker v. Des Moines (1969)
- *c. Schacht v. U.S. (1970)
- d. Texas v. Johnson & U.S. v. Eichman (1989, 1990)

- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.34
- 16. Which of the following cases involved a sitting-in protest at a library, which was geared towards the issues of segregation.
- a. Texas v. Johnson & U.S. v. Eichman (1989, 1990)
- *b. Brown v. Louisiana (1966)
- c. Spence v. Washington (1974)
- d. Tinker v. Des Moines (1969)
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.34

Type: E

- 17. What did the U.S. Supreme Court rule in *Spence v. Washington* (1974)? *a. The Court upheld Spence's speech as constitutional and stated that the flag carries different meanings for different people.
- @ Type: SA; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Knowledge; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.35
- 18. The Supreme Court reversed the conviction on the grounds that flag burning was constitutionally protected by symbolic speech in which of the following cases? a. *Spence v. Washington* (1974)?
- *b. *Texas v. Johnson* (1989)
- c. Tinker v. Des Moines (1969)
- d. Brown v. Louisiana (1966)
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.35

Type: E

- 19. Explain the Flag Protection Act.
- *a. The Flag Protection Act made it unlawful to deface an American flag. @ Type: SA; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Knowledge; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.35
- 20. In which of the following cases was the Flag Protection Act challenged?
- a. Texas v. Johnson (1989)
- b. Schacht v. U.S. (1970) *c. U.S. v. Eichman (1990) d. U.S. v. Grace (1983)

- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Define symbolic speech and provide examples of constitutionally protected symbolic speech; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Symbolic Speech, pp.35
- 21. Which of the following are categories of speech that does not have constitutional protection?
- a. Obscenity
- b. Fighting words
- c. Language that causes clear and present danger
- *d. All of the above
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Identify the circumstances when the criminal law may infringe upon individual rights; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: The Limits of Free Speech, pp.35
- 22. Obscenity is protected by the U.S. Constitution. *a. True
- b. False
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Identify the circumstances when the criminal law may infringe upon individual rights; Cognitive domain: Knowledge; Answer location: The Limits of Free Speech, pp.35
- 23. The Supreme Court looks at which of the following factors when deciding whether something is obscene? a. Whether material is lewd
- b. Whether the material violated community standards
- c. Whether the material had social value
- *d. All of the above
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: State the test for obscenity; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Obscenity, pp.35
- 24. The U.S. Supreme Court has stopped using the *Miller* test to decide whether material is obscene. a. True
- *b. False
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: State the test for obscenity; Cognitive domain: Knowledge; Answer location: Obscenity, pp.36
- 25. In which of the following cases did the Supreme Court hold that a person has a right to have obscene material in the privacy of their own home? a. *Miller v. California* (1973)

b. Jacobellis v. Ohio (1964) *c.

Stanley v. Georgia (1969)

- d. Chaplinksy v. New Hampshire (1942)
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: State the test for obscenity; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Obscenity, pp.36

- 26. In which of the following cases did the Supreme Court hold that stats can prohibit intentionally hateful communication? a. *Stanley v. Georgia* (1969)
- b. Wisconsin v. Mitchell (1993)
- c. Chaplinksy v. New Hampshire (1942)
- *d. R.A.V. v. St. Paul (1992)
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Provide the definition of fighting words; Identify the circumstances when the criminal law may infringe upon individual rights; Cognitive domain: Application; Answer location: Fighting Words and Hate Speech, pp. 37
- 27. The Court held that it is constitutional for a state to impose a longer sentence against an offender for a racially motivated crime in the _____ case.
- *a. Wisconsin v. Mitchell (1993)
- b. Chaplinksy v. New Hampshire (1942)
- c. R.A.V v. St. Paul (1992)
- d. Schenck v. United States (1919)
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Provide the definition of fighting words; Identify the circumstances when the criminal law may infringe upon individual rights; Cognitive domain: Knowledge; Answer location: Fighting Words and Hate Speech, pp. 37
- 28. Speech that creates an immediate threat of violence is not constitutionally protected. *a. True b. False
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Identify the circumstances when the criminal law may infringe upon individual rights; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Imminent Lawless Action, pp.38
- 29. Protected forms of speech can be regulated by the state. *a. True b. False @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Identify the circumstances when the criminal law may infringe upon individual rights; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Time, Place, and Manner Restrictions, pp. 38
- 30. The government can decide on which of the following?
- a. When public speech is permissible
- b. Where public speech is permission
- c. Under what circumstance is speech permissible
- *d. All of the above
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Identify the circumstances when the criminal law may infringe upon individual rights; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Time, Place, and Manner Restrictions, pp. 38
- 31. Which of the following are considered to be restrictions imposed by states and municipalities, among other entities? a. Time b. Place

- c. Manner
- *d. All of the above
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Identify the circumstances when the criminal law may infringe upon individual rights; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Time, Place, and Manner Restrictions, pp. 38
- 32. The Second Amendment protects right to assemble.
- a. True
- *b. False
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Explain freedom of assembly; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Freedom of Assembly, pp.38
- 33. The Supreme Court has upheld the practice of animal sacrifice for members of the Santeria religion. *a. True b. False
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Discuss the right to free exercise of religion; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Free Exercise of Religion, pp.38
- 34. Which of the following amendments protects ones right to bear arms?
- a. First Amendment *b.

Second Amendment c.

Third Amendment

- d. Fourth Amendment
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Summarize the right to bear arms; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Second Amendment, pp.39
- 35. In the _____ case the Court held that the Second Amendment applies to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment's due process clause. a. *District of Columbia v. Heller* (2008)
- b. Edwards v. South Carolina (1963)
- *c. McDonald v. Chicago (2010) d.

Harmelin v. Michigan (1991)

- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Summarize the right to bear arms; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Second Amendment, pp.42
- 36. There are two due process clauses. *a. True b. False
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Differentiate between the due process clause and the equal protection clause; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Due Process, pp.42
- 37. The_____Amendment guarantees due process for federal laws. a. First b. Second *c. Fifth

- d. Fourteenth
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Differentiate between the due process clause and the equal protection clause; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Due Process, pp.42
- 38. The Fourteenth Amendment guarantees due process for state laws.
- *a. True b. False
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Differentiate between the due process clause and the equal protection clause; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Due Process, pp.42
- 39. The prohibition against cruel and unusual punishment refers to pretrial jail terms.
- a. True *b.

False

- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Explain the prohibition against cruel and unusual punishment; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Eighth Amendment, pp.43
- 40. In______the U.S. Supreme Court held that as long as a sentence is not grossly disproportionate to the crime, it may be upheld. *a. *Harmelin v. Michigan* (1991) b. *Graham v. Florida* (2010).
- c. McLaughlin v. Florida (1964)
- d. Loving v. Virginia (1967)
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Explain the prohibition against cruel and unusual punishment; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Eighth Amendment, pp.43
- 41. Which of the following clauses was added to the Fourteenth Amendment?
- a. Due Process Clause *b.

Equal Protection Clause c.

Establishment Clause

- d. Probable Clause
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Differentiate between the due process clause and the equal protection clause; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Equal Protection Clause, pp.43
- 42. The Fourteenth Amendment was passed in
- a. 1800
- b. 1856
- c. 1862 *d. 1868
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Differentiate between the due process clause and the equal protection clause; Cognitive domain: Knowledge; Answer location: Equal Protection Clause, pp.43

- 43. Equal protection challenges have been raised in which of the following cases?
- a. Racial desegregation
- b. Interracial marriage
- c. Capital punishment

Protection Clause, pp.43

*d. All of the above

19

- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Differentiate between the due process clause and the equal protection clause; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Equal Protection Clause, pp. 43
- 44. In 1967,_____states have anti-miscegenation laws. a. 10 b. 14 *c. 16 d.
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Differentiate between the due process clause and the equal protection clause; Cognitive domain: Knowledge; Answer location: Equal
- 45. A key concern with vague laws is that they may be used to punish members of socially marginal groups. *a. True b. False
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Differentiate between the due process clause and the equal protection clause; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Due Process, pp.46
- 46. The right to privacy has evolved through Supreme Court decisions. *a. True b. False
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Know the constitutional sources for the right to privacy; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Right to Privacy, pp.47
- 47. The right to privacy covers which of the following areas?
- a. Contraception
- b. Family relations
- c. Sexual relations *d. All of the above
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Know the constitutional sources for the right to privacy; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Right to Privacy, pp.47
- 48. The right to privacy has roots in all of the following amendments except?
- *a. Third Amendment
- b. Fourth Amendment
- c. Ninth Amendment
- d. Fourteenth Amendment
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Know the constitutional sources for the right to privacy; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Right to Privacy, pp.47

- 49. The right to terminate a pregnancy is one of the most contentious areas involving right to privacy. *a. True b. False

 @ Type: TE: Learning objective: Know the constitutional sources for the right
- @ Type: TF; Learning objective: Know the constitutional sources for the right to privacy; Cognitive domain: Comprehension; Answer location: Right to Privacy, pp.47
- 50. Which of the following restrictions have been upheld by Supreme Court cases after *Roe v. Wade* (1973)? a. 24-hour waiting period b. Informed consent provisions
- c. Parental consent for minors
- *d. All of the above
- @ Type: MC; Learning objective: Know the constitutional sources for the right to privacy; Cognitive domain: Knowledge; Answer location: Right to Privacy, pp.47