Solution Manual for Human Resource Selection 8th Edition Gatewood S Feild Barrick 1305102681 9781305102682

Full link download Solution manual:

 $\frac{https://testbankpack.com/p/solution-manual-for-human-resource-selection-8th-edition-gatewood-s-feild-barrick-1305102681-9781305102682/$

Test bank:

https://testbankpack.com/p/test-bank-for-human-resource-selection-8th-edition-gatewood-s-feild-barrick-1305102681-9781305102682/

Job Performance Concepts and Measures 1 Human Resource Selection, 8e, Gatewood

Chapter 2 - Job Performance Concepts and Measures

Job Performance Concepts and Measures (PPT 2-3)

- Applicants who score high on selection tests are predicted to do well in their future job performance
- But what is meant by "job performance"?
 - Traditionally, it has meant task performance, since most jobs involved physical activities
 - An employee's production was easy to measure
 - Selection tools measured knowledge of topics and tasks
- More service and knowledge-sector jobs (PPT 2-4)
- Concept of job performance and nature of selection tests changed
 - Teams of workers
 - Collaboration required in complex fields
 - Workers think, plan, make observations, draw conclusions, interpret data not easily measurable
- How Job Performance is Viewed (PPT 2-5)

Task performance still the primary measure

Work characteristics behaviors measured in addition to task

behaviors 3 facets of job performance

- Organizational citizenship
- Adaptive performance
- Counterproductive work behaviors
- How Job Performance is Measured (PPT 2-6)

Count the number of produced items or services rendered

Supervisors make judgments on a worker's job behaviors

• Type of Selection Measured Used...

Measuring of WRCs continues, but more

O*NET database identifies four categories of characteristics

© 2017 Cengage Learning® May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part, except for use as permitted in a license distributed with a certain product or service or otherwise on a password-protected website or school-approved learning management system for classroom use.

Traditional applications also expanded

Task Performance - Production Data (PPT 2-7)

The results of work comprising things that can be counted, seen, and compared Measures based on specific nature of job tasks So

many measures, it is not possible to summarize Table

- 2.1 gives examples, showing quantity and quality
- Table 2.1 Examples of Production Criteria Measures for Various Jobs (PPT 2-8)
- Task Performance Judgmental Data

An individual familiar with the work of another is required to judge the work

Usually uses a rating scale with numerical values

Usually done by the immediate supervisor, but can be done by subordinates, peers, customers

Judgmental data increasingly being used for performance measurement

Types of Judgmental Instruments (PPT 2-10)

Trait Rating Scales - A bad method; don't use!

Supervisor evaluates subordinates on personal characteristics

Simple Behavior Scale - Better; can use.

Supervisor rates a subordinate on major or critical tasks of the job

BARS or BES - An even better method!

- Behaviorally Anchored Rating scales (BARS)
- Behavioral Expectation Scales (BES)
- Figure 2.1 Example of a BES Rating Dimension for Job of Bartender (PPT 2-11)
- Types of Judgmental Instruments (cont.) (PPT 2-12)

360 Degree Feedback – a useful technique for evaluating managers

- Gathers judgmental information from superiors, peers and subordinates
- Issues with Judgmental Scales

Intentional and inadvertent bias

Halo, leniency, severity, central tendency

May be based on production data

© 2017 Cengage Learning® May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part, except for use as permitted in a license distributed with a certain product or service or otherwise on a password-protected website or school-approved learning management system for classroom use.

- Organizational Citizenship Behaviors (PPT 2-13)
- Not formally part of the job, but done by a worker to assist other workers or the organization

Teaching new workers

Assisting other workers

Putting extra time and effort into work

- Dimensions of OCBs: (PPT 2-14)
 - 1. Helping behavior
 - 2. Sportsmanship
 - 3. Organizational loyalty
 - 4. Organizational compliance
 - 5. Individual initiative
 - 6. Civic Virtue
 - 7. Self-Development
- What Prompts OCBs? (PPT 2-15)

Linked to org commitment, perceptions of fairness & leader supportiveness

Relationship of OCBs with Other Performance Measures

Managers are influenced by worker's OCBs, especially judgmental performance evaluations

Measurement of OCBs

Self-report judgmental scales used; bias?

- Table 2.2 Behaviors Commonly Used in OCB Scales (PPT 2-16)
- Adaptive Performance (PPT 2-17)
- A deliberate change in the thinking or behavior of an individual because of anticipated or existing change in work activities or environment

Differences in WRCs can be used to predict differences in AP

Looking ahead, OCBs and AP will be included as parts of job performance in the near future

Research on using AP in selection focused on which WRCs would predict AP: (PPT 2-18)

^{© 2017} Cengage Learning® May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part, except for use as permitted in a license distributed with a certain product or service or otherwise on a password-protected website or school-approved learning management system for classroom use.

Cognitive complexity

Consider and integrate conflicting information

Frame Changing

 Alternate between multiple ways of attending to and interpreting problems and solution strategies

Resiliency

- To persist and recover quickly
- Research on using AP in selection focused on which WRCs would predict AP: (cont.) (PPT 2-19)

Problem solving

To persist and work through the details of a problem

Learning ability

- To apply lessons learned from previous experience
- Table 2.3 Dimensions of Adaptive Behaviors (PPT 2-20)
- Counterproductive Work Behavior
- Undesirable performance actions that harm the organization and often its employees and customers

Any intentional behavior by an organization member viewed by the organization as contrary to its legitimate interests

Integrity tests developed to identify applicants with higher than normal probability of CWB

CWB costs billions of dollars

- Table 2.4 Counterproductive Work Behaviors (PPT 2-22)
- CWBs may be classified as: (PPT 2-23)
 - ID, actions of deviance toward individuals
 - OD, actions toward the organization
- OCBs and CWBs are moderately negatively correlated

Two distinct constructs, not a single continuum of behavior

- Studies re relationship of CWBs to individual & organizational characteristics
- Appropriate Characteristics of Job Performance Measures (PPT 2-24)

^{© 2017} Cengage Learning® May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part, except for use as permitted in a license distributed with a certain product or service or otherwise on a password-protected website or school-approved learning management system for classroom use.

Individualization - Must collect data about performance the individual controls

Relevance - Must measure production of critical or important parts of job

Measurability - Must be possible to generate a number that represents the amount or quality of work performed

Variance – scores generated must have differences between them, to compare

Use of Criteria for Validation

Single vs. Multiple Criteria

Use of a single composite measure sees global performance; interpretation easy

Job analysis studies identify multiple tasks within jobs; each can be measured; global scores may not reflect all activities

· When to Use Each

For selection, use composite criterion

For research, use multiple scores

Forming the Single Measure (PPT 2-

26) Dollar Criterion

What is the value of worker performance to the

organization? Factor Analysis

 A majority of the separate measures combined into one factor; factor analysis may weight some factors

Expert Judgment

Must identify the weight of specific performance aspects

^{© 2017} Cengage Learning® May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part, except for use as permitted in a license distributed with a certain product or service or otherwise on a password-protected website or school-approved learning management system for classroom use.