Solution Manual for Introduction to Managerial Accounting 6th Edition Brewer 0078025419 9780078025419

Full link download:

Test Bank:

https://testbankpack.com/p/test-bank-forintroduction-to-managerial-accounting-6th-editionbrewer-0078025419-9780078025419/

Solution Manual:

https://testbankpack.com/p/solution-manual-for-introduction-to-managerial-accounting-6th-edition-brewer-0078025419-9780078025419/

Chapter 2 Job-Order Costing

Solutions to Questions

2-1 By definition, manufacturing overhead consists of costs that cannot be practically traced to jobs. Therefore, if these costs are to be assigned to jobs, they must be allocated rather than traced.

2-2 The first step is to estimate the total amount of the allocation base (the denominator) that will be required for next period's estimated level of production. The second step is to estimate the total fixed manufacturing overhead cost for the coming period and the variable manufacturing overhead cost per unit of the allocation base. The third step is to use the cost formula Y = a + bX to estimate the total manufacturing overhead cost (the numerator) for the coming period. The fourth step is to compute the predetermined overhead rate.

2-3 The job cost sheet is used to record all costs that are assigned to a particular job. These costs include direct materials costs traced to the job, direct labor costs traced to the job, and manufacturing overhead costs applied to the job. When a job is completed, the job cost sheet is used to compute the unit product cost.

2-4 Some production costs such as a factory manager's salary cannot be traced to a particular

product or job, but rather are incurred as a result of overall production activities. In addition, some production costs such as indirect materials cannot be easily traced to jobs. If these costs are to be assigned to products, they must be allocated to the products.

2-5 If actual manufacturing overhead cost is applied to jobs, the company must wait until the end of the accounting period to apply overhead and to cost jobs. If the company computes actual overhead rates more frequently to get around this problem, the rates may fluctuate widely due to seasonal factors or variations in output. For this

reason, most companies use predetermined overhead rates to apply manufacturing overhead costs to jobs.

2-6 The measure of activity used as the allocation base should drive the overhead cost; that is, the allocation base should cause the overhead cost. If the allocation base does not really cause the overhead, then costs will be incorrectly attributed to products and jobs and product costs will be distorted.

2-7 Assigning manufacturing overhead costs to jobs does not ensure a profit. The units produced may not be sold and if they are sold, they may not be sold at prices sufficient to cover all costs. It is a myth that assigning costs to products or jobs ensures that those costs will

be recovered. Costs are recovered only by selling to customers—not by allocating costs. **2-8** The Manufacturing Overhead account is credited when overhead cost is applied to Work in Process. Generally, the amount of overhead applied will not be the same as the amount of actual cost incurred because the predetermined overhead rate is based on estimates.

2-9 Underapplied overhead occurs when the actual overhead cost exceeds the amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process inventory during the period. Overapplied overhead occurs when the actual overhead cost is less than the amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process inventory during the period. Underapplied or overapplied overhead is disposed of by closing out the amount to Cost of Goods Sold. The adjustment for underapplied overhead increases Cost of Goods Sold.

© The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved. Solutions Manual, Chapter 2 **2-10** Manufacturing overhead may be underapplied for several reasons. Control over overhead spending may be poor. Or, some of the overhead may be fixed and the actual amount of the allocation base may be less than estimated at the beginning of the period. In this situation, the amount of overhead applied to inventory will be less than the actual overhead cost incurred.

2-11 Underapplied overhead implies that not enough overhead was assigned to jobs during the period and therefore cost of goods sold was understated. Therefore, underapplied overhead is added to cost of goods sold. On the other hand, overapplied overhead is deducted from cost of goods sold.

2-12 A plantwide overhead rate is a single overhead rate used throughout a plant. In a

multiple overhead rate system, each production department may have its own predetermined overhead rate and its own allocation base. Some companies use multiple overhead rates rather than plantwide rates to more appropriately allocate overhead costs among products. Multiple overhead rates should be used, for example, in situations where one department is machine intensive and another department is labor intensive.

2-13 When automated equipment replaces direct labor, overhead increases and direct labor decreases. This results in an increase in the predetermined overhead rate—particularly if it is based on direct labor.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

1. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

$$Y = $10,000 + ($1.00 \text{ per DLH})(2,000 \text{ DLHs})$$

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$10,000 |
|---|----------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead: | |
| \$1.00 per DLH × 2,000 DLHs | 2,000 |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | \$12,000 |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$12,000 |
|--|----------------|
| Estimated total direct labor hours (DLHs) (b). | 2,000 DLHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b) | \$6.00 per DLH |

2. The manufacturing overhead applied to Jobs P and Q is computed as follows:

| | Job P | Job Q |
|---|---------|---------|
| Actual direct labor hours worked (a) | 1,400 | 500 |
| Predetermined overhead rate per DLH (b) | \$6.00 | \$6.00 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b) | \$8,400 | \$3,000 |

3. The direct labor hourly wage rate can be computed by focusing on either Job P or Job Q as follows:

| | Job P | Job Q |
|--|----------|---------|
| Direct labor cost (a) | \$21,000 | \$7,500 |
| Actual direct labor hours worked (b) | 1,400 | 500 |
| Direct labor hourly wage rate (a) \div (b) | \$15.00 | \$15.00 |

4. Job P's unit product cost and Job Q's assigned manufacturing costs are computed as follows:

Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job P:

| Direct materials | \$13,000 |
|--|--------------------|
| Direct labor | 21,000 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied | |
| $($6 \text{ per DLH} \times 1,400 \text{ DLHs}) \dots$ | <u>8,400</u> |
| Total manufacturing cost | <u>\$</u> 42,400 |
| Unit product cost for Job P: | |
| Total manufacturing cost (a) | \$42,400 |
| Number of units in the job (b) | 20 |
| Unit product cost (a) ÷ (b) | \$2,120 |
| Total manufacturing cost assigned to J | ob Q: |
| Direct materials | \$ 8,000 |
| Direct labor | 7,500 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied | |
| (\$6 per DLH × 500 DLHs) | . <u>3,000</u> |
| Total manufacturing cost | . <u>\$</u> 18,500 |
| The journal entries are recorded as fall | 0.1101 |
| The journal entries are recorded as foll | ows: |
| Raw Materials 22,000 | |
| Accounts Payable 2 | 22,000 |
| Work in Process 21,000 | |
| Paw Materials | 21 000 |
| | 21,000 |
| The journal entry is recorded as follows | 5: |
| Work in Process 28.500 | |
| Wages Payable | 28,500 |

5.

6.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

7. The journal entry is recorded as follows:

| Work in Process | 11,400 |
|------------------------|--------|
| Manufacturing Overhead | 11,400 |

8. The Schedule of Cost of Goods Manufactured is as follows:

| Direct materials: | |
|--|------------------|
| Raw materials inventory, beginning \$ 0 | |
| Add: Purchases of raw materials 22,000 | |
| Total raw materials available 22,000 | |
| Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending <u>1,000</u> | |
| | \$21,000 |
| Direct labor | 28,500 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied to work in | |
| process inventory | 11,400 |
| Total manufacturing costs | 60,900 |
| Add: Beginning work in process inventory | 0 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 60.900 |
| Deduct: Ending work in process inventory | 18,500 |
| Costofgoodsmanufactured | \$42 400 |
| | <u>¥ 12, 100</u> |

9. The journal entry is recorded as follows:

| Finished Goods | 42,400 |
|-----------------|--------|
| Work in Process | 42,400 |

10. The completed T-account is as follows:

| | Work in Proce | ess |
|------------|---------------|--------|
| Beg. Bal. | 0 | |
| (a) | 21,000 | |
| (b) | 28,500 | |
| <u>(c)</u> | 11,400 (d) | 42,400 |
| End. Bal. | 18,500 | |

© The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved. Solutions Manual, Chapter 2

11. The Schedule of Cost of Goods Sold is as follows:

| Finished goods inventory, beginning | \$ | 0 |
|--|------------|--------------|
| Add: Cost of goods manufactured | <u>4</u> | <u>2,400</u> |
| Cost of goods available for sale | _42 | 2,400 |
| Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending | _ | 0 |
| Unadjustedcostofgoodssold | <u>\$4</u> | <u>2,400</u> |

12. The journal entry is recorded as follows:

| Cost of Goods Sold | 42,400 |
|--------------------|--------|
| Finished Goods | 42,400 |

13. The amount of underapplied overhead is computed as follows:

| Actual direct labor-hours (a) | 1,900 |
|---|----------|
| Predetermined overhead rate (b) | \$6.00 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b) | \$11,400 |
| Actual manufacturing overhead | \$12,500 |
| Underappliedoverhead | \$ 1,100 |

14. The journal entry is recorded as follows:

| Cost of Goods Sold | 1,100 | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|
| Manufacturing Overhead | | 1,100 |

15. The income statement is as follows:

| Sales | \$60,000 |
|---|-----------------|
| Cost of goods sold (\$42,400 + \$1,100) | 43,500 |
| Gross margin | 16,500 |
| Selling and administrative expenses | 14,000 |
| Net operating income | <u>\$ 2,500</u> |
| | |

Exercise 2-1 (10 minutes)

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

Y = \$466,000 + (\$3.00 per DLH)(40,000 DLHs)

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$466,000 |
|---|-------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead: | |
| \$3.00 per DLH × 40,000 DLHs | <u>120,000</u> |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$</u> 586,000 |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$586,000 |
|---|-----------------|
| Estimated total direct labor hours (DLHs) (b) | 40,000 DLHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) \div (b) | \$14.65 per DLH |

Exercise 2-2 (10 minutes)

| Actual direct labor-hours (a) | 12,600 |
|---|-----------|
| Predetermined overhead rate (b) | \$23.10 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b) | \$291,060 |

Exercise 2-3 (10 minutes)

1. Total direct labor-hours required for Job A-200:

| Direct labor cost (a) Direct labor wage rate per hour (b) Total direct labor hours (a) ÷ (b) | .\$120 \$12 10 |
|--|----------------------|
| Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job | A-200: |
| Direct materials Direct labor | .\$200 120 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied | |
| (\$18 per DLH × 10 DLHs) | <u>180</u> |
| Total manufacturing cost | <u>\$500</u> |

2. Unit product cost for Job A-200:

| Total manufacturing cost (a) | \$500 |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Number of units in the job (b) | |
| Unit product cost (a) ÷ (b) | \$10 |

Exercise 2-4 (15 minutes)

| a. | Raw Materials Accounts Payable | 86,000 | 86,000 |
|----|--|------------------|---------|
| b. | Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Raw Materials | 72,000 12,000 | 84,000 |
| c. | Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Wages Payable | 105,000 3,000 | 108,000 |
| d. | Manufacturing Overhead Various Accounts | 197,000 | 197,000 |

 $[\]odot$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-5 (20 minutes)

Parts 1 and 2.

| Cash | | | Raw Materials | | | S | |
|-------------------------------|---------|--------|----------------|------------------------------------|---------|-----|---------|
| | | (a) | 75,000 | <u>(a)</u> 75,000(b) <u>73,000</u> | | | 73,000 |
| | | (c) | 152,000 | Bal. | 2,00 | 0 | |
| | | (d) | 126,000 | | | | |
| Work in Process | | | cess | Finished Goods | | | |
| (b) | 67,000 | | | (f) | 379,000 | (f) | 379,000 |
| (C) | 134,000 | | | Bal.0 | | | |
| <u>(e)</u> | 178,00 | 0(f) | <u>379,000</u> | | | | |
| Bal. | | 0 | | | | | |
| <u>Manufacturing Overhead</u> | | erhead | | Cost of Goo | ds S | old | |
| (b) | 6,00 | 0(e) | 178,000 | (f) | 379,000 | (g) | 28,000 |
| (C) | 18,000 | | | Bal. | 351,000 | | |
| (d) | 126,000 | | | | | | |
| (g) | 28,000 | | _ | | | | |
| Bal.0 | | | - | | | | |

Exercise 2-6 (20 minutes)

| 1. | Cost of Goods Manufactured | | |
|----|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| | Baw materials inventory beginning | ¢74 000 | |
| | Add: Durchasos of raw materials | ^{φ24,000} | |
| | Auu. Puicidses of law fildlefilds | <u> </u> | |
| | Total raw materials available | //,000 | |
| | Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending | 6,000 | |
| | Rawmaterialsusedinproduction | 71,000 | |
| | Deduct: Indirect materials included in | | |
| | manufacturing overhead | 8,000 | \$ 63,000 |
| | Directlabor | | 62,000 |
| | Manufacturing overhead applied to work in | | - , |
| | nrocess inventory | | 41 000 |
| | Totalmanufacturingcosts | | 166,000 |
| | Add: Reginning work in process inventory | | 100,000 |
| | Add. Degining work in process inventory | | |
| | | | 207,000 |
| | Deduct: Ending work in process inventory | | 38,000 |
| | Cost of goods manufactured | | <u>\$</u> 169,000 |
| | | | |
| 2. | Cost of Goods Sold | | |
| | Finished goods inventory, beginning | \$ 86,000 | |
| | Add: Cost of goods manufactured | 169,000 | |
| | Costofgoodsavailableforsale | 255 000 | |
| | Deduct: Finished goods inventory ending | 93 000 | |
| | Unadjusted social description of the second social description of | 162,000 | |
| | Add Underannlied everhead | 102,000 | |
| | Adjusted asst of goods cold | | |
| | Adjusted cost of goods sold | <u>\$170,000</u> | |

Exercise 2-7 (10 minutes)

| 1. Actual direct labor-hours (a) | 8,250 |
|---|-------------------|
| Predetermined overhead rate (b) | \$21.40 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b) | \$176,550 |
| Actual manufacturing overhead cost | \$172,500 |
| Deduct: Manufacturing overhead applied | 176,550 |
| Manufacturingoverheadoverapplied | \$ <u>(4,050)</u> |

2. Because manufacturing overhead is overapplied, the cost of goods sold would decrease by \$4,050 and the gross margin would increase by \$4,050.

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-8 (30 minutes)

| 1. | Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning Add: Purchases of raw materials Total raw materials available Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending Rawmaterialsusedinproduction Direct labor | \$ 8,000 <u>132,000</u> 140,000 <u>10,000</u> | 130,000 90,000 <u>210,000</u> 430,000 <u>5,000</u> 435,000 <u>20,000</u> <u>\$415,000</u> |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2. | Cost of Goods Sold Finished goods inventory, beginning Add: Cost of goods manufactured Cost of goods available for sale Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending Unadjustedcostofgoodssold Add: Underapplied overhead Adjustedcostofgoodssold | \$ 70,000 <u>415,000</u> 485,000 <u>25,000</u> 460,000 <u>10,000</u> <u>470,000</u> | |
| r | | | |

3.

Eccles Company Income Statement

| Sales | \$643,000 |
|---|------------------|
| Cost of goods sold (\$460,000 + \$10,000) | 470,000 |
| Gross margin | 173,000 |
| Selling and administrative expenses: | |
| Selling expenses | \$100,000 |
| Administrative expense | 43,000 143,000 |
| Net operating income | <u>\$_30,000</u> |

Exercise 2-9 (10 minutes)

Yes, overhead should be applied to value the Work in Process inventory at year-end.

Because \$15,000 of overhead was applied to Job X on the basis of \$10,000 of direct labor cost, the company's predetermined overhead rate must be 150% of direct labor cost.

| Job Q direct labor cost (a) | \$8,000 |
|--|----------|
| Predetermined overhead rate (b) | 150% |
| Manufacturing overhead applied to Job Q (a) \times (b) | \$12,000 |

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-10 (10 minutes)

| Direct material | \$12,000 8 000 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Manufacturing overhead applied: | 0,000 |
| \$8,000 × 120% | <u>9,600</u> |
| Total manufacturing cost | <u>\$29,600</u> |
| Unit product cost: | |
| \$29,600 ÷ 200 units | \$148 |

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-11 (30 minutes)

| 1. a. Raw Materials Inventory | 210,000 |
|---|-------------------------|
| Accounts Payable | 210,000 |
| b. Work in Process | 152,000 |
| Manufacturing Overhead | 38,000 |
| Raw Materials Inventory | 190,000 |
| c. Work in Process | 49,000 |
| Manufacturing Overhead | 21,000 |
| Salaries and Wages Payable | 70,000 |
| d. Manufacturing Overhead | 105,000 |
| Accumulated Depreciation | 105,000 |
| e. Manufacturing Overhead | 130,000 |
| Accounts Payable | 130,000 |
| f. Work in Process | 300,000 |
| Manufacturing Overhead | 300,000 |
| 75,000 machine-hours \$4 per mac | chine-hour = \$300,000. |
| g. Finished Goods | 510,000 |
| Work in Process | 510,000 |
| h. Cost of Goods Sold | 450,000 |
| Finished Goods | 450,000 |
| Accounts Receivable Sales $450,000 \times 1.5 = 675,000.$ | 675,000 675,000 |
| | |

| 2. | | Manufacturin | g Overhead | | Work i | n Process | |
|----|-----|--------------|------------------------------------|------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | (b) | 38,000 | (f) 300,000 | Bal. | 35,000 | (g) | 510,000 |
| | (C) | 21,000 | | (b) | 152,000 | | |
| | (d) | 105,000 | | (C) | 49,000 | | |
| | (e) | 130,000 | | (f) | 300,000 | | |
| • | | | 6,000 (Overapplied overhead) | Bal. | 26,000 | | |

Exercise 2-12 (20 minutes)

1. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$ 750,000 |
|---|--------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead | |
| \$4.00 per MH × 150,000 MHs | 600,000 |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$1,350,000</u> |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$1,350,000 |
|--|---------------|
| Estimated total machine-hours (MHs) (b) | 150,000 MHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) \div (b) | \$9.00 per MH |

2. Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job 500:

| Direct materials | \$350 |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Direct labor | 230 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied | |
| \$9.00 per MH × 30 MHs | <u>270</u> |
| Total manufacturing cost | <u>\$</u> 850 |

3. Computing underapplied/overapplied overhead:

| Actual machine-hours (a) | 147,000 |
|---|-----------------|
| Predetermined overhead rate (b) | \$9.00 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b) | \$1,323,000 |
| Actual manufacturing overhead | \$1,325,000 |
| Deduct: Manufacturing overhead applied | 1,323,000 |
| Underappliedoverhead | <u>\$ 2,000</u> |

The closing entry would increase cost of goods sold by \$2,000 and decrease net operating income by \$2,000.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-13 (15 minutes)

| 1. Actual manufacturing overhead costs Deduct: Manufacturing overhead applied: | \$48,000 | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Overapplied overhead cost | <u>\$(2,000)</u> | |
| | | |
| 2. Direct materials: | | |
| Raw materials inventory, beginning | \$ 8,000 | |
| Add: Purchases of raw materials | <u>32,000</u> | |
| Raw materials available for use | 40,000 | |
| Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending | 7,000 | |
| Raw materials used in production | | \$ 33,000 |
| Direct labor | | 40,000 |
| Manufacturing overhead cost applied to | | - |
| work in process | | 50,000 |
| Total manufacturing cost | | 123,000 |
| Add: Work in process, beginning | | 6,000 |
| | | 129,000 |
| Deduct: Work in process, ending | | 7,500 |
| Cost of goods manufactured | | <u>\$121,500</u> |

Exercise 2-14 (30 minutes)

Note to the instructor: This exercise is a good vehicle for introducing the concept of predetermined overhead rates.

| 1. | Units Produced | Manufacturing Overhead |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| High activity level (First quarter) | 80,000 | \$228,000 |
| Low activity level (Third quarter) | 20,000 | 192,000 |
| Change | <u>60,000</u> | <u>\$36,000</u> |

Variable cost = Change in cost \div Change in activity

= \$36,000 ÷ 60,000 units

= \$0.60 per unit produced

| Total cost (First quarter) | \$228,000 |
|---|------------------|
| Variable cost element ($$0.60$ per unit \times 80,000 units) | . 48,000 |
| Fixed cost element | <u>\$180,000</u> |

These fixed and variable cost estimates can be used to estimate the total manufacturing overhead cost for the fourth quarter as follows:

Y = \$180,000 + (\$0.60 per unit)(60,000 units)

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$180,000 |
|---|-------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead | |
| \$0.60 per unit × 60,000 units | 36,000 |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$</u> 216,000 |

| Total manufacturing cost and unit product cost: | |
|---|------------------|
| Direct materials | \$180,000 |
| Direct labor | 72,000 |
| Manufacturing overhead | 216,000 |
| Total manufacturing costs (a) | <u>\$468,000</u> |
| Number of units to be produced (b) | 60,000 |
| Unit product cost (a) ÷ (b) | \$7.80 |

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-14 (continued)

- 2. The fixed portion of the manufacturing overhead cost is causing the unit product costs to fluctuate. The unit product cost increases as the level of production decreases because fixed overhead is being spread over fewer units.
- 3. The unit product cost can be stabilized by using a predetermined overhead rate that is based on expected activity for the entire year. The cost formula created in requirement 1 can be adapted to compute the annual predetermined overhead rate. The annual fixed manufacturing overhead is \$720,000 (\$180,000 per quarter × 4 quarters). The variable manufacturing overhead per unit is \$0.60. The cost formula is as follows:

 $Y = $720,000 + $0.60 \text{ per unit} \times 200,000 \text{ units}$

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$720,000 |
|---|-------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead | |
| \$0.60 per unit × 200,000 units | <u>120,000</u> |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$</u> 840,000 |

The annual predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$840,000 |
|--|-----------------|
| Estimated total units produced (b) | 200,000 |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b) | \$4.20 per unit |

The predetermined overhead rate of \$4.20 would be used throughout the entire year, thereby eliminating the impact of seasonal variations in demand on unit product costs.

Exercise 2-15 (15 minutes)

1. Milling Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Milling Department is computed as follows:

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$390,000 |
|---|-------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead | |
| \$2.00 per MH × 60,000 MHs | <u>120,000</u> |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$</u> 510,000 |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| The predetermined overhead rate is computed t | 5 1010005. | |
|---|------------|--------|
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$510,000 | |
| Estimated total machine-hours (b) | 60,000 N | ИHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) \div (b) | \$8.50 p | ber MH |

Assembly Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Assembly Department is computed as follows:

$$Y = $500,000 + ($3.75 \text{ per DLH})(80,000 \text{ DLH})$$

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$500,000 |
|---|-----------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead | |
| | |

| $3.75 \text{ per DLH} \times 80,000 \text{ DLHs}$ | <u>300,000</u> |
|---|----------------|
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | \$800,000 |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$800,000 |
|--|-----------------|
| Estimated total direct labor-hours (b) | 80,000 DLHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b) | \$10.00 per DLH |

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-15 (continued)

| 2. Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job 407 | |
|--|---------------|
| Direct materials (\$800 + \$370) | \$1,17 |
| Direct labor (\$45 + \$160) | 205 |
| Milling Department (90 MHs × \$8.50 per MH) | \$765 |
| Assembly Department (20 DLH \times \$10 per DL | H). 200 965 |
| Total manufacturing cost | <u>\$2,34</u> |

3. Yes; if some jobs require a large amount of machine time and a small amount of labor time, they would be charged substantially less overhead cost if a plantwide rate based on direct labor hours were used. It appears, for example, that this would be true of Job 407 which required considerable machine time to complete, but required a relatively small amount of labor hours.

Exercise 2-16 (10 minutes)

- Item (a): Actual manufacturing overhead costs for the year. Item (b): Overhead cost applied to work in process for the year. Item (c): Cost of goods manufactured for the year. Item (d): Cost of goods sold for the year.
- 2. Manufacturing Overhead30,000Cost of Goods Sold30,000

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-17 (30 minutes)

1. The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

$$Y = $106,250 + $0.75 \text{ per MH} \times 85,000 \text{ MHs}$$

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$106,250 |
|---|------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead | |
| \$0.75 per MH × 85,000 MHs | <u>63,750</u> |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$170,000</u> |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$170,000 |
|--|---------------|
| Estimated total machine-hours (b) | 85,000 MHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b) | \$2.00 per MH |

2. The amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process for the year would be: 80,000 machine-hours \times \$2.00 per machine-hour = \$160,000. This amount is shown in entry (a) below:

| | Manufacturing Overhead |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| (Utilities) | 14,000 (a) 160,000 |
| (Insurance) | 9,000 |
| (Maintenance) | 33,000 |
| (Indirect materials) | 7,000 |
| (Indirect labor) | 65,000 |
| (Depreciation) | 40,000 |
| Balance | 8,000 |
| | Work in Process |
| (Direct materials) | 530,000 |
| (Direct labor) | 85,000 |
| (Overhead) | (a) 160,000 |

3. Overhead is underapplied by \$8,000 for the year, as shown in the Manufacturing Overhead account above. The entry to close out this balance to Cost of Goods Sold would be:

| Cost of Goods Sold | 8,000 | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|
| Manufacturing Overhead | | 8,000 |

Exercise 2-17 (continued)

4. When overhead is applied using a predetermined rate based on machine-hours, it is assumed that overhead cost is proportional to machine-hours. When the actual level of activity turns out to be 80,000 machine-hours, the costing system assumes that the overhead will be 80,000 machine-hours × \$2.00 per machine-hour, or \$160,000. This is a drop of \$10,000 from the initial estimated total manufacturing overhead cost of \$170,000. However, the actual total manufacturing overhead did not drop by this much. The actual total manufacturing overhead was \$168,000—a drop of only \$2,000 from the estimate. The manufacturing overhead did not decline by the full \$10,000 because of the existence of fixed costs and/or because overhead spending was not under control. These issues will be covered in more detail in later chapters.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-18 (45 minutes)

| 1 | a. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed |
|---|--|
| | as follows: |

$$Y = $1,100,000 + $5.00 \text{ per MH} \times 50,000 \text{ MHs}$$

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$1,100,000 |
|---|-------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead | |
| \$5.00 per MH × 50,000 MHs | 250,000 |

| Estimated total | manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$1,350,000</u> |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$1,350,000 | |
|--|-------------|--------|
| Estimated total machine-hours (MHs) (b) | 50,000 | MHs |
| Predeterminedoverheadrate(a)÷(b) | \$27.00 | per MH |

1 b and 1 c. Total manufacturing cost assigned to Jobs D-75 and C-100:

| | | D-75 | | C-100 |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| Direct materials | \$ | 700,000 | \$ | 550,000 |
| Direct labor | | 360,000 | | 400,000 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied | | | | |
| (\$27.00 per MH × 20,000 MHs; | | | | |
| \$27.00 per MH × 30,000 MHs) | | 540,000 | | 810,000 |
| Total manufacturing cost | <u>\$1</u> | ,600,000 | <u>\$1</u> | ,760,000 |

Bid prices for Jobs D-75 and C-100:

| | D-75 | C-100 |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total manufacturing cost (a) | \$1,600,000 | \$1,760,000 |
| Markup percentage (b) | 150% | 150% |
| Bid price (a) \times (b) | \$2,400,000 | \$2,640,000 |

1 d. Because the company has no beginning or ending inventories and only Jobs D-75 and C-100 were started, completed, and sold during the year, the cost of goods sold is equal to the sum of the manufacturing costs assigned to both jobs of \$3,360,000 (= \$1,600,000 + \$1,760,000).

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-18 (continued)

2 a. Molding Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Molding Department is computed as follows:

$$Y = $800,000 + $5.00 \text{ per MH} \times 20,000 \text{ MH}$$

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$800,000 |
|---|------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead | |
| \$5.00 per MH × 20,000 MHs | 100,000 |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$900,000</u> |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$900,000 |
|--|----------------|
| Estimated total machine-hours (b) | 20,000 MHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b) | \$45.00 per MH |

Fabrication Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Fabrication Department is computed as follows:

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$300,000 |
|---|-------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead | . , |
| \$5.00 per MH × 30,000 MHs | 150,000 |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$</u> 450,000 |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$450,000 |
|--|----------------|
| Estimated total direct labor-hours (b) | 30,000 MHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) \div (b) | \$15.00 per MH |

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-18 (continued)

2b and 2c. Total manufacturing costs assigned to Jobs D-75 and C-100:

| | D-75 | C-100 |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Direct materials | \$700,000 | \$550,000 |
| Direct labor | 360,000 | 400,000 |
| Molding Department (15,000 MHs × \$45 per MH; | 675 000 | 225 000 |
| Fabrication Department | 075,000 | 223,000 |
| $(5,000 \text{ MH} \times \$15 \text{ per MH};$ | | |
| 25,000 MH × \$15 per MH) | 75,000 | 375,000 |
| Total manufacturing cost | <u>\$</u> 1,810,000 | <u>\$1,550,000</u> |
| Bid prices for Jobs D-75 and C-100: | | |
| | D-75 | C-100 |
| Total manufacturing cost (a) Markup percentage (b) | \$1,810,00 150 |)0\$1,550,000 % 150% |
| Bid price (a) \times (b) | \$2,715,00 |)0 \$2,325,000 |
| | | |

- 2 d. Because the company has no beginning or ending inventories and only Jobs D-75 and C-100 were started, completed, and sold during the year, the cost of goods sold is equal to the sum of the manufacturing costs assigned to both jobs \$3,360,000 (= \$1,810,000 + \$1,550,000).
- 3. The plantwide and departmental approaches produce identical cost of goods sold figures. However, these two approaches lead to different bid prices for Jobs D-75 and C-100. The bid price for Job D-75 using the departmental approach is \$315,000 higher than the bid price using the plantwide approach. This is because the departmental cost pools reflect the fact that Job D-75 is an intensive user of Molding machine-hours. The overhead rate in Molding (\$45) is three times higher than the overhead rate in Fabrication (\$15). Conversely, Job C-100 is an intensive user of the less-expensive Fabrication machine-hours, so its departmental bid price is \$315,000 lower than the plantwide bid price.

Exercise 2-18 (continued)

Whether a job-order costing system has only one plantwide overhead cost pool or numerous departmental overhead cost pools does not usually have an important impact on the accuracy of the cost of goods sold reported for the company as a whole. However, it can have a huge impact on internal decisions with respect to individual jobs, such as establishing bid prices for those jobs. Job -order costing systems that rely on one plantwide overhead cost pool are commonly used to value ending inventories and cost of goods sold for external reporting purposes, but they can create costing inaccuracies for individual jobs that adversely influence internal decision making.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-19 (30 minutes)

| 1. a. Raw Materials Accounts Payable | 315,000 | 315,000 |
|--|-------------------|---------|
| b. Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Raw Materials | 216,000 54,000 | 270,000 |
| c. Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Wages and Salaries Payable | 80,000 110,000 | 190,000 |
| d. Manufacturing Overhead Accumulated Depreciation | 63,000 | 63,000 |
| e. Manufacturing Overhead Accounts Payable | 85,000 | 85,000 |
| f. Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead | 300,000 | 300,000 |

Predetermined = Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost overhead rate Estimated total amount of the allocation base

\$4,320,000

= 576,000 machine-hours = \$7.50 per machine-hour

40,000 MHs \times \$7.50 per MH = \$300,000.

| 2 | Manufacturing C | verhead | | Work in Process | |
|-----|-----------------|---------|-----|-----------------|--|
| (b) | 54,000(f) | 300,000 | (b) | 216,000 | |
| (C) | 110,000 | | (C) | 80,000 | |
| (d) | 63,000 | | (f) | 300,000 | |
| (e) | 85,000 | | | | |

3. The cost of the completed job would be \$596,000 as shown in the Work in Process T-account above. The entry for item (g) would be:

| Finished Goods | 596,000 | |
|-----------------|---------|---------|
| Work in Process | | 596,000 |

4. The unit product cost on the job cost sheet would be: \$596,000 ÷ 8,000 units = \$74.50 per unit.

Exercise 2-20 (30 minutes)

1. Since \$320,000 of studio overhead cost was applied to Work in Process on the basis of \$200,000 of direct staff costs, the apparent predetermined overhead rate was 160%:

| . Studio overhead applied | \$320,000 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Total amount of the allocation base | = \$200,000 direct staff costs |
| | =160% of direct staff costs |

 The Krimmer Corporation Headquarters project is the only job remaining in Work in Process at the end of the month; therefore, the entire \$40,000 balance in the Work in Process account at that point must apply to it. Recognizing that the predetermined overhead rate is 160% of direct staff costs, the following computation can be made:

| Total cost added to th | e Krimmer | | |
|------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| Corporation Headqu | arters project | | \$40,000 |
| Less: Direct staff cos | sts | \$13,500 | |
| Studio overhea | ad cost | | |
| (\$13,500 × 1 | 160%) | 21,600 | 35,100 |
| Costs of subcontracted | d work | | \$ 4,900 |

With this information, we can now complete the job cost sheet for the Krimmer Corporation Headquarters project:

| Costs of subcontracted work | \$ 4,900 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Direct staff costs | 13,500 |
| Studio overhead | 21,600 |
| Total cost to January 31 | <u>\$</u> 40,000 |

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-21A (30 minutes)

1. The predetermined overhead rate was:

 $Y = $1,275,000 + $3.00 per hour \times 85,000 hours$

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead Estimated variable manufacturing overhead \$3.00 per computer hour × 85,000 hours Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | \$1,275,000 |
|---|-------------------|
| The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follo | ows: |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) \$1,5 | 530,000 |
| Estimated total computer hours (b) | 85,000 hours |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b) | \$18.00 per hour |
| 2. Actual manufacturing overhead cost Manufacturing overhead cost applied to Work in Process during the year: 60,000 actual computer | \$1,350,000 |
| hours × \$18 per computer hour | <u>1,080,000</u> |
| Underapplied overhead cost | \$ <u>270,000</u> |
| 3. Cost of Goods Sold 270,0 | 00 |
| Manufacturing Overhead | 270,000 |

This entry will decrease net operating income.

Problem 2-22A (30 minutes)

| 1. | Cost of Goods Manufactured | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| | Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning* Add: Purchases of raw materials* Totalrawmaterialsavailable Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending* Raw materials used in production Direct labor Manufacturing overhead applied to work in process inventory* | \$ 50,000 <u>260,000</u> 310,000 <u>40,000</u> | \$270,000 65,000 <u>340,000</u> 675,000 <u>48,000</u> 723,000 <u>33,000</u> \$690,0 <u>00</u> |
| 2. | Cost of Goods Sold Finished goods inventory, beginning* Add: Cost of goods manufactured Costofgoodsavailableforsale* Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending Unadjusted cost of goods sold* Add: Underapplied overhead | \$ 30,000 <u>690,000</u> 720,000 <u>55,000</u> 665,000 <u>10,000</u> <u>\$675,000</u> | |
| 3. | Valenko Company Income Statement | | |
| | Sales Cost of goods sold (\$665,000 + \$10,000) Gross margin Selling and administrative expenses: Selling expenses* | \$1 \$215,000 | ,085,000 <u>675,000</u> 410,000 |
| | Net operating income* | <u> </u> | _35,000 |
| | | | |

* Given

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-23A (45 minutes)

1. The cost of raw materials put into production was:

| Raw materials inventory, 1/1 | \$ 30,000 |
|--|------------------|
| Debits (purchases of materials) | 420,000 |
| Materials available for use | 450,000 |
| Raw materials inventory, 12/31 | 60,000 |
| Materials requisitioned for production | <u>\$390,000</u> |

2. Of the \$390,000 in materials requisitioned for production, \$320,000 was debited to Work in Process as direct materials. Therefore, the difference of \$70,000 (\$390,000 - \$320,000 = \$70,000) would have been debited to Manufacturing Overhead as indirect materials.

| 3. | Total factory wages accrued during the year | |
|----|--|------------------|
| | (credits to the Factory Wages Payable account) | \$175,000 |
| | Less direct labor cost (from Work in Process) | 110,000 |
| | Indirect labor cost | <u>\$ 65,000</u> |

- 4. The cost of goods manufactured for the year was \$810,000—the credits to Work in Process.
- 5. The Cost of Goods Sold for the year was:

| Finished goods inventory, 1/1 | \$ 40,000 |
|---|------------------|
| Add: Cost of goods manufactured (from Work in Process). | <u>810,000</u> |
| Cost of goods available for sale | 850,000 |
| Deduct: Finished goods inventory, 12/31 | <u>130,000</u> |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>\$720,000</u> |

6. The predetermined overhead rate was:

Predetermined = Manufacturing overhead cost applied

overhead rate

 $=\frac{$400,000}{$320,000}=125\%$ of direct materials cost

Direct materials cost
Problem 2-23A (continued)

7. Manufacturing overhead was overapplied by \$15,000, computed as follows:

| Actual manufacturing overhead cost for the year (debits) | \$385.000 |
|---|--------------------|
| Applied manufacturing overhead cost (from Work in | 4000/000 |
| Process—this would be the credits to the | |
| Manufacturing Overhead account) | <u>400,000</u> |
| Overapplied overhead | <u>\$(15,000</u>) |

8. The ending balance in Work in Process is \$90,000. Direct labor makes up \$18,000 of this balance, and manufacturing overhead makes up \$40,000. The computations are:

| Balance, Work in Process, 12/31 | \$90,000 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Less: Direct materials cost (given) | (32,000) |
| Manufacturing overhead cost | |
| (\$32,000 × 125%) | (40,000) |
| Direct labor cost (remainder) | <u>\$18,000</u> |

 $[\]textcircled{\sc c}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-24A (60 minutes)

1. a.

Predetermined = <u>Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost</u> overhead rate Estimated total amount of the allocation base \$126,000

= $\overline{$ \$84,000 direct labor cost = 150% of direct labor cost

b. Actual manufacturing overhead costs:

| Insurance, factory | \$ 7,000 |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Depreciation of equipment | 18,000 |
| Indirect labor | 42,000 |
| Property taxes | 9,000 |
| Maintenance | 11,000 |
| Rent, building | <u> 36,000 </u> |
| Total actual costs | 123,000 |
| Applied manufacturing overhead costs: | - |
| \$80,000 × 150% | <u>120,000</u> |
| Underapplied overhead | <u>\$ 3,000</u> |
| | |

2.

Pacific Manufacturing Company Schedule of Cost of Goods Manufactured

| Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning Add: Purchases of raw materials | \$ 21,000 <u>133,000</u> | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Total raw materials available | 154,000 | |
| Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending | 10,000 | |
| Raw materials used in production | | \$138,000 |
| Direct labor | | 80,000 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied to work in | | |
| process | | 120,000 |
| Total manufacturing cost | | 338,000 |
| Add: Work in process, beginning | | 44,000 |
| | | 382,000 |
| Deduct: Work in process, ending | | 40,000 |
| Cost of goods manufactured | | <u>\$</u> 342,000 |

Problem 2-24A (continued)

| 3. Unadjusted cost of goods sold: Finished goods inventory, beginning | 68,000 <u>42,000</u> 10,000 <u>60,000</u> 50,00 <u>0</u> |
|--|--|
| 4. Direct materials | 3,200 4,200 5,300 <u>3,700</u> |
| $13,700 \times 140\% = 19,180$ price to customer. | |
| 5. The amount of overhead cost in Work in Process | |
| was: $$8,000$ direct labor cost \times 150% = $$12,000$ | |
| The amount of direct materials cost in Work in Process w | as: |
| Total ending work in process Deduct: | \$40,000 |
| Direct labor\$ 8,000 Manufacturing overhead Direct materials | <u>20,000</u> <u>\$</u> 20,000 |
| The completed schedule of costs in Work in Process was | |
| Direct materials | |

Problem 2-25A (120 minutes)

| 1. a. | Raw Materials Accounts Payable | 142,000 |) 142,000 |
|-------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| b. ' | Work in Process Raw Materials | 150,000 |) 150,000 |
| c. | Manufacturing Overhead Accounts Payable | 21,000 |) 21,000 |
| d. ' | Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Salaries Expense Salaries and Wages Payable | 216,000 90,000 145,000 |))) 451,000 |
| e. | Manufacturing Overhead Accounts Payable | 15,000 |) 15,000 |
| f. | Advertising Expense Accounts Payable | 130,000 |) 130,000 |
| g. | Manufacturing Overhead Depreciation Expense Accumulated Depreciation | 45,000 5,000 |)) 50,000 |
| h. | Manufacturing Overhead Rent Expense Accounts Payable | 72,000 18,000 |)) 90,000 |
| i. | Miscellaneous Expense Accounts Payable | 17,000 |) 17,000 |
| j. | Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead | 240,000 |) 240,000 |
| | Estimated total manufacturing overhead Estimated direct materials cost | <u>cost</u> = | = <u>\$248,000</u> \$155,000 |
| | | = | =160% of direct materials cost. |
| \$ | 150,000 direct materials cost \times 160% = 3 | \$240,00 | 0 applied. |

Problem 2-25A (continued)

| k. Finished Goods | 590,000 |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Work in Process | 590,000 |
| I. Accounts Receivable | 1,000,000 |
| Sales | 1,000,000 |
| Cost of Goods Sold | 600,000 |
| Finished Goods | 600,000 |

2.

| Accounts | Receival | ole | | Raw Ma | terials | |
|---------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| 1,000,000 | | | Bal. | 18,000 | (b) | 150,000 |
| | | | <u>(a)</u> | 142,000 | | - |
| | | | Bal.10, | ,000 | | |
| | _ | | | | | |
| Work in | Process | <u> </u> | | Finished | Goods | |
| 24,000 | (k) | 590,000 | Bal. | 35,000 | (I) | 600,000 |
| 150,000 | | | (k) | 590,000 | | |
| 216,000 | | | | | | |
| 240,000 | | | | | | |
| 10,000 | | | Bal. | 25,000 | | |
| Manufacturi | ng Overl | head | <u> </u> | Accounts | Payable | |
| | <u></u> | | | | | |
| 21,000 | (j) | 240,000 | | - | (2) | 142 000 |
| 90,000 | | | | | (a) | 21.000 |
| 15,000 | | | | | (e) | 15,000 |
| 45,000 | | | | | (f) | 130,000 |
| 72,000 | | | | l | (h) | 90,000 |
| 3,000 | | | | | (i) | 17.000 |
| | | | | Doprociati | (·) on Evnon | |
| Accumulated | Deprec | lation | | | оп Ехреп | <u>se</u> |
| | (g) | 50,000 | (g) | 5,000 ¹ | | |
| Calariac 9. W | lagos Da | vabla | | Salaries F | Typense | |
| Saidi les & W | ayes Pa | | (d) | 145,000 | препос | |
| | (u) | | (~) | 1.0,000 | | |
| Miscellaneo | ous Exde | ense | | Advertising | Expense | 2 |
| 17,000 | | | (f) | 130,000 | | |
| | Accounts 1,000,000 Work in 24,000 150,000 216,000 240,000 Manufacturi 21,000 90,000 15,000 15,000 45,000 72,000 3,000 Accumulated Salaries & W Miscellaned 17,000 | Accounts Receival 1,000,000 Work in Process 24,000 24,000 216,000 240,000 40,000 Manufacturing Over 21,000 90,000 15,000 15,000 3,000 Accumulated Deprect (g) Salaries & Wages Pa (d) Miscellaneous Expendent | Accounts Receivable 1,000,000 1,000,000 Work in Process 24,000 (k) 590,000 150,000 590,000 216,000 240,000 Wanufacturing Overhead 21,000 (j) 21,000 (j) 240,000 90,000 15,000 15,000 240,000 90,000 140,000 15,000 240,000 90,000 15,000 15,000 240,000 90,000 15,000 15,000 240,000 90,000 15,000 15,000 240,000 90,000 15,000 15,000 240,000 90,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 3,000 15,000 Accumulated Depreciation (g) 50,000 Salaries & Wages Payable (d) 451,000 Miscellaneous Expense 17,000 15,000 | Accounts Receivable Bal. (a) Bal. (a) Bal.10, Work in Process Bal. (a) 24,000 (k) 590,000 150,000 Bal. (k) 240,000 Bal. 240,000 Bal. Manufacturing Overhead Bal. 21,000 (j) 240,000 90,000 Salaries & Wages Payable (g) 50,000 Salaries & Wages Payable (d) 17,000 (f) | Accounts Receivable Raw Ma 1,000,000 Bal. 18,000 Work in Process Finished 24,000 (k) 590,000 Bal. 35,000 150,000 Kather Bal. 35,000 240,000 Bal. 25,000 Wanufacturing Overhead Accounts 21,000 (j) 240,000 Accounts 21,000 (j) 240,000 Accounts 3,000 Accounts Depreciation (g) 50,000 Salaries & Wages Payable Salaries E (d) 451,000 Advertising Miscellaneous Expense Advertising | Accounts Receivable Raw Materials 1,000,000 Bal. 18,000 (b) 1,000,000 Bal. 142,000 (a) 142,000 Bal. 142,000 Work in Process |

Introduction to Managerial Accounting, 6th edition

Problem 2-25A (continued)

| Rent Expense | se | C | ost of Goods S | old |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| 18,000 | | (I) | 600,000 | |
| Sales | | | | |
| (1) | 1,000,000 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Southwo | rth Compon | , | |
| Sche | dule of Cost c | of Goods Mar | , nufactured | |
| t materials: | | | | |
| w materials inven I: Purchases of ra erials available for | tory, beginnin aw materials or use | g | \$ 18,000 \$ 18,000 142,000 160,000 | |
| erials used in pro t labor facturing overh | als inventory, oduction ead applied to | work in | <u>10,000</u> | \$150,000 216,000 |
| cess | | - | | 240,000 |
| manufacturing c Work in process, | beginning | | | 606,000 <u>24,000</u> |
| ct: Work in proce of goods manufa | ess, ending ctured | | | <u>40,000</u> <u>\$590,000</u> |
| of Goods Sold | | | 3,000 | |
| lanufacturing Ov | erhead | | • | 3,000 |
| dule of cost of g ished goods inve d: Cost of goods at of goods availa duct: Finished go adjusted cost of d: Underapplied | oods sold: entory, beginn manufactured able for sale oods inventory goods sold overhead | ing 1 /, ending | . \$ 35,000 <u>590,000</u> . 625,000 <u>25,000</u> 600,000 3,000 | |
| | Rent Expens 18,000 Sales (I) Sche (I) Sche t materials: v materials inven Purchases of ra- rerials available for luct: Raw materi rerials used in pro- t labor facturing overho- cess | Rent Expense 18,000 Sales (1) 1,000,000 Southwo Schedule of Cost of t materials: v materials inventory, beginning Purchases of raw materials erials available for use luct: Raw materials inventory, for use erials used in production uct: Raw materials inventory, for use ufacturing overhead applied to cost of goods manufactured of Goods Sold of Goods Sold | Rent Expense C 18,000 (I) Sales (I) (I) 1,000,000 Southworth Company Schedule of Cost of Goods Mar t materials: v v materials inventory, beginning | Rent Expense Cost of Goods Si 18,000 (I) 600,000 Sales (I) 1,000,000 Southworth Company Schedule of Cost of Goods Manufactured Southworth Company Schedule of Cost of Goods Manufactured t materials: \$ 18,000 v materials inventory, beginning \$ 18,000 I: Purchases of raw materials 142,000 erials available for use 160,000 luct: Raw materials inventory, ending 10,000 ut: Raw materials inventory, ending 10,000 ifacturing overhead applied to work in 10,000 cess work in process, beginning work in process, beginning 3,000 of Goods Sold 3,000 anufacturing Overhead \$ 35,000 ci Cost of goods sold: \$ 35,000 shed goods inventory, beginning \$ 35,000 ci Cost of goods available for sale 625,000 duct: Finished goods inventory, ending 25,000 duct: Finished goods inventory, ending 3,000 |

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-25A (continued)

5.

Southworth Company Income Statement

| Sales Cost of goods sold | | \$1,000,000 <u>603,000</u> |
|--|-----------|-------------------------------|
| Gross margin Selling and administrative expenses: | | 397,000 |
| Salaries expense | \$145,000 | |
| Advertising expense | 130,000 | |
| Depreciation expense | 5,000 | |
| Rent expense | 18,000 | |
| Miscellaneous expense | 17,000 | 315,000 |
| Net operating income | | <u>\$ 82,000</u> |

6.

| Direct materials | \$ 3,600 |
|---|------------------|
| Direct labor (400 hours \times \$11 per hour) | 4,400 |
| Manufacturing overhead cost applied ($160\% \times $3,600$) | 5,760 |
| Total manufacturing cost | 13,760 |
| Add markup (75% × \$13,760) | 10,320 |
| Total billed price of Job 218 | <u>\$</u> 24,080 |

 $24,080 \div 500 \text{ units} = 48.16 \text{ per unit.}$

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-26A (30 minutes)

1. Preparation Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Preparation Department is computed as follows:

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$256,000 |
|---|------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead: | |
| \$2.00 per MH × 80,000 MHs | 160,000 |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$416,000</u> |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$416,000 |
|--|---------------|
| Estimated total machine-hours (b) | 80,000 MHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) \div (b) | \$5.20 per MH |

Fabrication Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Fabrication Department is computed as follows:

$$Y = $520,000 + $4.00 \text{ per DLH} \times 50,000 \text{ DLH}$$

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$520,000 |
|---|-------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead: | |
| \$4.00 per DLH × 50,000 DLHs | 200,000 |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$</u> 720,000 |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$720,000 |
|--|-----------------|
| Estimated total machine-hours (b) | 50,000 DLHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) \div (b) | \$14.40 per DLH |

Problem 2-26A (continued)

| Preparation Department overhead 350 machine-hours × \$5.20 per Fabrication Department overhead 130 direct labor-hours × \$14.40 Total overhead cost | applied: machine applied: per labe | : e-hour \$1,8 : or-hour <u>1,8 <u>\$3,6</u></u> | 320 372 592 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3. Total cost of Job 127: | | | |
| Prepa Direct materials \$ Direct labor Manufacturing overhead <u>1</u> Total cost <u>\$3</u> | aration 940 710 <u>,820</u> <u>,470</u> | Fabrication \$1,200 980 <u>1,872</u> <u>\$4,052</u> | Total \$2,140 1,690 <u>3,692</u> <u>\$7,522</u> |
| Unit product cost for Job 127: Total manufacturing cost (a) Number of units in the job (b) Unit product cost (a) ÷ (b) | | \$7,522 25 \$300.88 | units per unit |
| 4. | | | |
| Manufacturing overhead cost incur Manufacturing overhead cost app 73,000 machine-hours × \$5.20 | rred blied: per | Preparation \$390,000 | Fabrication \$740,000 |
| machine-hour | • | 379,600 | |

Problem 2-27A (45 minutes)

| 1. a. Raw Materials Accounts Payable | 160,000 | 160,000 |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------|
| b. Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Raw Materials | 120,000 | 140,000 |
| c. Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Sales Commissions Expense Salaries Expense Salaries and Wages Payable | 90,000 60,000 20,000 50,000 | 220,000 |
| d. Manufacturing Overhead Insurance Expense Prepaid Insurance | | 18,000 |
| e. Manufacturing Overhead Accounts Payable | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| f. Advertising Expense Accounts Payable | | 15,000 |
| g. Manufacturing Overhead Depreciation Expense Accumulated Depreciation | | 25,000 |
| h. Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead | 110,000 | 110,000 |

Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost Estimated total amount of the allocation base $=\frac{\pounds99,000}{45,000}$ = $\pounds2.20$ per MH

50,000 actual MHs \times £2.20 per MH = £110,000 overhead applied.

Problem 2-27A (continued)

| | i. Finished Goods Work in Process | 310,000 | 310,000 |
|----|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| j. | Accounts Receivable | 498,000 | 498.000 |
| | Cost of Goods Sold | 308,000 | |
| | Finished Goods | | 308,000 |

2.

| | Raw Materia | s | | Work in P | rocess |
|------|-------------|---------|------|-----------|-----------|
| Bal. | 10,000(b) | 140,000 | Bal. | 4,000(i) |) 310,000 |
| (a) | 160,000 | | (b) | 120,000 | |
| | | | (c) | 90,000 | |
| | | | (ĥ) | 110,000 | |
| Bal. | 30,000 | | Bal. | 14,000 | |

| Finished Good <u>s</u> | | | | Manufacturing Over <u>head</u> | | |
|------------------------|----------|---------|------|--------------------------------|----|---------|
| Bal. | 8,000(j) | 308,000 | (b) | 20,000(| h) | 110,000 |
| (i) | 310,000 | | (C) | 60,000 | | |
| | | | (d) | 13,000 | | |
| | | | (e) | 10,000 | | |
| | | | (g) | 20,000 | | |
| Bal. | 10,000 | | Bal. | 13,000 | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | Cost of Goods Sold | |
|-----|--------------------|--|
| (j) | 308,000 | |

3. Manufacturing overhead is underapplied by $\pm 13,000$ for the year. The entry to close this balance to Cost of Goods Sold would be:

| Cost of Goods Sold | 13,000 |
|------------------------|--------|
| Manufacturing Overhead | 13,000 |

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-27A (continued)

4.

Sovereign Millwork, Ltd. Income Statement For the Year Ended June 30

| Sales Cost of goods sold (£308,000 + £13,000) | | £498,000 <u>321,000</u> |
|--|---------|----------------------------|
| Gross margin | | 177,000 |
| Selling and administrative expenses: | | |
| Sales commissions | £20,000 | |
| Administrative salaries | 50,000 | |
| Insurance expense | 5,000 | |
| Advertising expenses | 15,000 | |
| Depreciation expense | 5,000 | 95,000 |
| Net operating income | | £ 82,000 |

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-28A (60 minutes)

1. and 2.

| | Cash | | | Accounts | Recei <u>vab</u> | le |
|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|---------|
| Bal. | 15,000(c) | 225,000 | Bal. | 40,000 | () | 445,000 |
| <u>()</u> | 445,000(m) | <u>150,000</u> | (k) | 450,000 | | |
| Bal. | 85,000 | - | Bal.45 | ,000 | | |
| | Raw Materials | | | Work in | Process | |
| Bal. | 25,000(b) | 90,000 | Bal. | 30,000 | (j) | 310,000 |
| (a) | 80,000 | | (b) | 85,000 | | |
| | | | (C) | 120,000 | | |
| | 45.000 | | (i) | 96,000 | | |
| Bal. | 15,000 | | Bal.21 | ,000 | | |
| | Finished Goods | | | Prepaid I | nsurance | |
| Bal. | 45,000(k) | 300,000 | Bal. | 5,000 | (f) | 4,800 |
| (j) | 310,000 | | | · | | , |
| Bal.5 | 5,000 | | Bal. | 200 | | |
| | Buildings & Equipm | ent | A | Accumulated | Deprecia | tion |
| Bal. | 500,000 | | | | | 210.000 |
| | | | | | | 30,000 |
| | | | | | | 240,000 |
| | Manufacturing Ove | rhead | | Accounts | Payable | |
| (b) [–] | 5,000(i)* | 96,000 | (m) | 150,000 | Bal. | 75,000 |
| (C) | 30,000 | · | | | (a) | 80,000 |
| (d) | 12,000 | | | | (a) (a) | 12,000 |
| (e) | 25,000 | | | | (g) (b) | 40,000 |
| (†) | 4,000 | | | | (1) | 17,000 |
| <u>(h)</u> | 17,000 | | | | Del | 74 000 |
| | | 3,000 | | | Bal. | 74,000 |
| * | $\frac{500,000}{100} = 80\%$ of | direct labor | cost; \$1 | $20,000 \times 0.8$ | 0 = \$96,0 | 000. |
| 9 | \$100,000 | | , , | , | , , | |
| | Retained Earning | S | | Commo | n Stock | |
| | Bal.12 | 25,000 | | | Bal.250 |),000 |

Introduction to Managerial Accounting, 6th edition

Problem 2-28A (continued)

| Salaries Expense | Depreciation Expense |
|---|---|
| (c) 75,000 | (e) 5,000 |
| Insurance Expense | Shipping Expense |
| (f) 800 | (g) 40,000 |
| Cost of Goods Sold | Sales |
| (k) 300,000 | (k) 450,000 |
| 3. Manufacturing overhead was ove journal entry would be recorded a | rapplied by \$3,000 for the year. The as follows: |
| Manufacturing Overhead Cost of Goods Sold | |
| 4. Fantastic F | Props, Inc. |
| For the Year End | led December 31 |
| Sales Cost of goods sold (\$300,000 – \$ Gross margin Selling and administrative exper | \$ 450,000 (53,000) |
| Salaries expense Depreciation expense Insurance expense Shipping expense | \$75,000 |
| Net operating income | <u>\$ 32,200</u> |

Case (60 minutes)

1. a.

Predetermined = Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost overhead rate Estimated total amount of the allocation base \$1,440,000 = \$900,000 direct labor cost =160% of direct labor cost

b. \$21,200 × 160% = \$33,920.

2. a.

| | Cutting Depa | Machining artment Depart | Assembly tment |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Estimated manufacturing | | Department | |
| overhead cost (a) Estimated direct labor | \$540,000 | \$800,000 | \$100,000 |
| cost (b) Predetermined overhead | \$300,000 | \$200,000 | \$400,000 |
| rate (a) ÷ (b) | 180% | 400% | 25% |

b.

| Cutting Department: $$6500 \times 180\%$ | \$11 700 |
|---|------------------|
| Machining Department: | Ψ11,700 |
| \$1,700 × 400% | 6,800 |
| Assembly Department: | |
| \$13,000 × 25% | 3,250 |
| Total applied overhead | <u>\$</u> 21,750 |

3. The bulk of the labor cost on the Hastings job is in the Assembly Department, which incurs very little overhead cost. The department has an overhead rate of only 25% of direct labor cost as compared to much higher rates in the other two departments. Therefore, as shown above, use of departmental overhead rates results in a relatively small amount of overhead cost charged to the job.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Case (continued)

However, use of a plantwide overhead rate in effect redistributes overhead costs proportionately between the three departments (at 160% of direct labor cost) and results in a large amount of overhead cost being charged to the Hastings job, as shown in Part 1. This may explain why the company bid too high and lost the job. Too much overhead cost was assigned to the job for the kind of work being done on the job in the plant.

If a plantwide overhead rate is being used, the company will tend to charge too little overhead cost to jobs that require a large amount of labor in the Cutting or Machining Departments. The reason is that the plantwide overhead rate (160%) is much lower than the rates if these departments were considered separately.

4. The company's bid price was:

| Direct materials | \$ 18,500 |
|--|------------------|
| Direct labor | 21,200 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied (above) | <u>33,920</u> |
| Total manufacturing cost | 73,620 |
| Bidding rate | × 1.5 |
| Total bid price | <u>\$110,430</u> |

If departmental overhead rates had been used, the bid price would have been:

| Direct materials | \$ 18,500 |
|--|------------------|
| Direct labor | 21,200 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied (above) | 21,750 |
| Total manufacturing cost | 61,450 |
| Bidding rate | <u>× 1.5</u> |
| Total bid price | <u>\$ 92,175</u> |

Note that if departmental overhead rates had been used, Lenko Products would have been the low bidder on the Hastings job since the competitor underbid Lenko by only \$10,000.

Case (continued)

5. a.

| Actual overhead cost | \$1, | ,482,000 |
|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Applied overhead cost ($\$870,000 \times 160\%$) | 1 | <u>,392,000</u> |
| Underapplied overhead cost | <u>\$</u> | 90,000 |

b.

| | Department | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Cutting | Machining | Āssembly | Total Plant |
| Actual overhead cost | \$560,000 | \$830,000 | \$92,000 | \$1,482,000 |
| Applied overhead cost: | | | | |
| \$320,000 × 180% | 576,000 | | | |
| \$210,000 × 400% | - | 840,000 | | |
| \$340,000 × 25% | | | <u>85,000</u> | <u>1,501,000</u> |
| Underapplied (overapplied) | | | | |
| overhead cost | <u>\$(16,000</u>) | <u>\$(10,000)</u> | <u>\$ 7,000 </u> | <u>\$ (19,000</u>) |

Ethics Challenge (45 minutes)

- 1. Shaving 5% off the estimated direct labor-hours in the predetermined overhead rate will result in an artificially high overhead rate, which is likely to result in overapplied overhead for the year. The cumulative effect of overapplying the overhead throughout the year is all recognized in December when the balance in the Manufacturing Overhead account is closed out to Cost of Goods Sold. If the balance were closed out every month or every quarter, this effect would be dissipated over the course of the year.
- 2. This question may generate lively debate. Where should Cristin Madsen's loyalties lie? Is she working for the general manager of the division or for the corporate controller? Is there anything wrong with the "Christmas bonus"? How far should Cristin go in bucking her boss on a new job?

While individuals can certainly disagree about what Cristin should do, some of the facts are indisputable. First, the practice of understating direct labor-hours results in artificially inflating the overhead rate. This has the effect of inflating the cost of goods sold figures in all months prior to December and overstating the costs of inventories. In December, the adjustment for overapplied overhead provides a big boost to net operating income. Therefore, the practice results in distortions in the pattern of net operating income over the year. In addition, since all of the adjustment is taken to Cost of Goods Sold, inventories are still overstated at year-end. This means that retained earnings is also overstated.

While Cristin is in an extremely difficult position, her responsibilities under the IMA's Statement of Ethical Professional Practice seem to be clear. The Credibility standard states that management accountants have a responsibility to "disclose all relevant information that could reasonably be expected to influence an intended user's understanding of the reports, analyses, or recommendations." Cristin should discuss this situation with her immediate supervisor in the controller's office at corporate headquarters. This step may bring her into direct conflict with the general manager of the division, so it would be a very difficult decision for her to make.

Ethics Challenge (continued)

In the actual situation that this case is based on, the corporate controller's staff were aware of the general manager's accounting tricks, but top management of the company supported the general manager because "he comes through with the results" and could be relied on to hit the annual profit targets for his division. Personally, we would be very uncomfortable supporting a manager who will resort to deliberate distortions to achieve "results." If the manager will pull tricks in this area, what else might he be doing that is questionable or even perhaps illegal?

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Teamwork in Action

1. The types of transactions that are posted to the accounts may be summarized in T-account form as follows:

| Beginning balance | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Purchases | Direct materials used (to Work in Process) | | | | |
| Accounts | s Payable | | | | |
| Payments to suppliers | Beginning balance Purchases of raw materials | | | | |
| Work in | Process | | | | |
| Beginning balance | | | | | |
| Direct materials used (from Raw Materials) Direct labor | Cost of goods manufactured (to Finished Goods) | | | | |
| Manufacturing overhead applied | I | | | | |
| Manufacturii | ng Overhead | | | | |
| Actual manufacturing costs | Manufacturing overhead applied | | | | |
| Overhead overapplied (to COGS) | Overhead underapplied (to COGS) | | | | |
| Finished | d Goods | | | | |
| Beginning balance | | | | | |
| Cost of goods manufactured (from WIP) | Cost of goods sold | | | | |
| Cost of G | oods Sold | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | | | | | |
| Overhead underapplied (from Manufacturing Overhead) | Overhead overapplied (from Manufacturing Overhead) | | | | |

Teamwork in Action (continued)

- 2. The predetermined overhead rate and overhead applied amounts are: Predetermined overhead rate: \$180,000 ÷ 60,000 DLHs = \$3 per DLH.
 Overhead applied: 5,200 DLHs × \$3 per DLH = \$15,600
- 3. The balance in the work in process account is determined as follows:

| Direct materials (given) | \$2,600 |
|---|----------------|
| Direct labor (300 DLHs × \$6 per DLH) | |
| Overhead applied (300 DLHs × \$3 per DLH) | |
| Total | <u>\$5,300</u> |

4. The completed T-accounts follow:

| | A | ccounts Pa | ayable | | |
|---------|-----------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| (c) Pa | 0 (c) | Ba | alance 4/1 | 6,000 | |
| | | (plug) | <u> </u> | <u>irchases</u> | 42,000 |
| | | (given | i) Ba | alance 4/30 | 8,000 |
| | | _Work in F | Process | | |
| (given) | Balance 4/1 | 4,50 | 00 (f) | Cost of good manufacture | ds 89,000 ed |
| (b,d) | Direct labor* | 31,20 | 00 | | |
| | | ed 15,600 |) | | |
| (above) | Overhead appli | 43,00 | 00 | | |
| (plug) | Direct materials | 5,30 |)0 | | |
| (above) | Balance 4/30 | -, | - 1 | | |
| * 5,200 | DLHs \times \$6 per | DLH = \$31 | L,200 | | |
| | | Raw | Materia | ls | |
| (given) | Balance 4/1 | 12,000 | (a ove) | Direct ma | aterials 43 |

| (given) (above) | Balance 4/1 Purchases | 12,000 _42,000 | (a ove) b | Direct materials | 43,000 |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------|--------|
| | Balance 4/30 | 11,000 | <u> </u> | - | |

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Teamwork in Action (continued)

| | M | <u>anufacturi</u> r | ng Overhe | ead | |
|---------|------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------|--------|
| (given) | Actual costs for | 14,800 | (above) | Overhead | 15,600 |
| | April | _ | | applied | |
| | To cost of | 800 | | Overapplied | 800 |
| | goods sold | | | overhead | |
| | | | • | | |
| | | Finishe | d Goods | | |
| (e) | Balance 4/1 | 11,000 | (plug) | Cost of goods | 84,000 |
| | | | | sold | |
| (f) | Cost of goods | 89,000 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | manufactured | _ | | | |
| (given) | Balance 4/30 | 16,000 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | _Cost of G | ioods Solo | l | |
| (above) | Cost of goods | 84,000 | (above) | Overapplied | 800 |
| | sold | _ | | overhead | |
| | | 83,200 | | | |
| | | , | | | |

Communicating in Practice

Date: Current date

To: Instructor

From: Student's Name

Subject: Talk with a Controller

The student's memorandum should address the following:

The name, title, and job affiliation of the individual interviewed. (Note: Not specifically required in problem but essential and, as such, a good topic for class discussion, if appropriate.)

A list of the company's main products.

Identification of the type of costing system in use (job-order, process, or other).

Brief description of how overhead is assigned to products (including basis for allocation and whether more than one overhead rate is in use). Indication as to whether any changes have been made to or are being considered in relation to the company's costing system, and, if applicable, a brief description of the changes.

Chapter 2 Take Two Solutions

Exercise 2-1 (10 minutes)

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

Y = \$466,000 + (\$3.00 per DLH)(50,000 DLHs)

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$466,000 |
|---|-------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead: | |
| \$3.00 per DLH × 50,000 DLHs | <u>150,000</u> |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$</u> 616,000 |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$616,000 |
|---|-----------------|
| Estimated total direct labor hours (DLHs) (b) | 50,000 DLHs |
| = Predetermined overhead rate (a) \div (b) | \$12.32 per DLH |

Note to Instructors: Ask students why this overhead rate (\$12.32) is lower than the overhead rate in the original data set (\$14.65). The "take two" rate is lower because the fixed overhead is being spread over more direct labor-hours.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-2 (10 minutes)

| Actual direct labor-hours (a) | 12,600 |
|---|-----------|
| Predetermined overhead rate (b) | \$23.10 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b) | \$291,060 |

Note to Instructors: Use the "take two" data to emphasize the point that the manufacturing overhead applied to jobs is unaffected by the actual manufacturing overhead costs incurred.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-3 (10 minutes)

| 1. Total direct labor-hours required for Job A | -200: |
|--|---------------------|
| Direct labor cost (a) Direct labor wage rate per hour (b) Total direct labor hours (a) ÷ (b) | \$120 \$12 10 |
| Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job | A-200: |
| Direct materials | .\$200 120 |

| Manufacturing overhead applied | |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| (\$24 per DLH × 10 DLHs) | |
| Total manufacturing cost | \$560 |

2. Unit product cost for Job A-200:

| Total manufacturing cost (a) | \$560 |
|--------------------------------|---------|
| Number of units in the job (b) | |
| Unit product cost (a) ÷ (b) | \$11.20 |

Exercise 2-6 (20 minutes)

| Cost of Goods Manufactured | | |
|---|---|---|
| Direct materials: | 424 000 | |
| Raw materials inventory, beginning | \$24,000 | |
| Add: Purchases of raw materials | <u>53,000</u> | |
| Total raw materials available | 77,000 | |
| Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending | <u>25,000</u> | |
| Rawmaterialsusedinproduction | 52,000 | |
| Deduct: Indirect materials included in | , | |
| manufacturing overhead | 8 000 | ¢ 44 000 |
| Diroctlabor | 0,000 | φ 11,000 62.000 |
| Manufacturing and a selicit to make in | | 02,000 |
| Manufacturing overnead applied to work in | | 41 000 |
| process inventory | | 41,000 |
| Totalmanufacturingcosts | | 147,000 |
| Add: Beginning work in process inventory | | <u>41,000</u> |
| | | 188,000 |
| Deduct: Ending work in process inventory | | 43,000 |
| Cost of goods manufactured | | \$145,000 |
| | | <u>+,</u> |
| Cast of Goods Sold | | |
| Einished goods inventory beginning | ¢ 96 000 | |
| Add. Cost of soude manufactured | \$ 86,000 | |
| Add: Cost of goods manufactured | 145,000 | |
| Costofgoodsavailableforsale | 231,000 | |
| Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending | <u>93,000</u> | |
| Unadjustedcostofgoodssold | 138,000 | |
| Add: Underapplied overhead | 8,000 | |
| | Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning Add: Purchases of raw materials Total raw materials available Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending Rawmaterialsusedinproduction Deduct: Indirect materials included in manufacturing overheadDirectlabor Manufacturing overhead applied to work in process inventory Totalmanufacturingcosts Add: Beginning work in process inventory Deduct: Ending work in process inventory Cost of goods manufactured Cost of goods manufactured Add: Cost of goods inventory, beginning Costofgoodsavailableforsale Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending Unadjustedcostofgoodssold Add: Underapplied overhead | Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning |

Note to Instructors: Using the "take two" data, ask students to calculate the cost of goods manufactured and cost of goods sold without preparing any schedules. They should see that there is a \$24,000 increase in ending inventories and this will decrease cost of goods manufactured and cost of goods sold by \$24,000. Given that the cost of goods manufactured and cost of goods sold in the original scenario were \$169,000 and \$170,000, respectively, the corresponding amounts in the "take two" scenario are \$145,000 and \$146,000, respectively.

Adjusted cost of goods sold \$146,000

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-7 (10 minutes)

1. The underapplied overhead is computed as follows:

| Actual direct labor-hours (a) | 8,250 |
|---|-----------------|
| Predetermined overhead rate (b) | <u>\$21.40</u> |
| Manufacturingoverheadapplied(a)×(b) s | \$176,550 |
| Deduct: Manufacturing overhead incurred | 178,000 |
| Underappliedmanufacturingoverhead | <u>\$ 1,450</u> |

2. Because manufacturing overhead is underapplied, the cost of goods sold would increase by \$1,450 and the gross margin would decrease by \$1,450.

Note to Instructors: Students often erroneously believe that if the actual quantity of the allocation base exceeds the denominator volume, then manufacturing overhead must be overapplied. The "take two" data is purposely intended to dispel this notion.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-8 (30 minutes)

| 1. | Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning Add: Purchases of raw materials Total raw materials available Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending Rawmaterialsusedinproduction Direct labor | \$ 8,000 <u>132,000</u> 140,000 <u>8,000</u> | \$132,000 90,000 <u>210,000</u> 432,000 <u>5,000</u> 437,000 <u>16,000</u> <u>\$421,000</u> |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2. | Cost of Goods Sold Finished goods inventory, beginning Add: Cost of goods manufactured Cost of goods available for sale Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending Unadjustedcostofgoodssold Add: Underapplied overhead Adjustedcostofgoodssold | \$ 70,000 <u>421,000</u> 491,000 <u>25,000</u> 466,000 <u>10,000</u> <u>476,000</u> | |
| h | | | |

3.

Eccles Company Income Statement

| Sales | \$643,000 |
|---|---------------------------|
| Cost of goods sold (\$466,000 + \$10,000) | 476,000 |
| Gross margin | 167,000 |
| Selling and administrative expenses: | |
| Selling expenses | \$100,000 |
| Administrative expense | 43,000 143,000 |
| Net operating income | <u>\$ 2</u> 4 <u>,000</u> |

Exercise 2-8 (30 minutes)

Note to Instructors: Using the "take two" data, ask students to calculate the net operating income without preparing any schedules. They should see that there is a \$6,000 decrease in ending inventories. This will increase cost of goods sold by \$6,000 and decrease net operating income by \$6,000. Given that the net operating income in the original scenario was \$30,000, the "take two" scenario has a net operating income of \$24,000.

Exercise 2-9 (10 minutes)

Yes, overhead should be applied to value the Work in Process inventory at year-end.

Because \$15,000 of overhead was applied to Job X on the basis of \$5,000 of direct labor cost, the company's predetermined overhead rate must be 300% of direct labor cost.

| Job Q direct labor cost (a) | \$8,000 |
|--|----------|
| Predetermined overhead rate (b) | 300% |
| Manufacturing overhead applied to Job Q (a) \times (b) | \$24,000 |

 $[\]ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-10 (10 minutes)

| Direct material | \$12,000 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Direct labor | 10,000 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied: | |
| \$10,000 × 120% | <u>12,000</u> |
| Total manufacturing cost | <u>\$34,000</u> |
| Unit product cost: | |
| \$34,000 ÷ 200 units | \$170 |

Note to Instructors: In instances such as this, students often struggle to understand that changing the direct labor charged to the job also influences the amount of manufacturing overhead applied to the job.

Exercise 2-12 (20 minutes)

1. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

$$Y = $750,000 + $4.00 \text{ per MH} \times 120,000 \text{ MHs}$$

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$ | 750,000 |
|---|------------|----------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead | - | - |
| \$4.00 per MH × 120,000 MHs | _ | 480,000 |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$1</u> | ,230,000 |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$1,230,000 |
|--|----------------|
| Estimated total machine-hours (MHs) (b) | 120,000 MHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) \div (b) | \$10.25 per MH |

2. Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job 500:

| Direct materials | \$350.00 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Direct labor | 230.00 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied | |
| \$10.25 per MH × 30 MHs | <u>307.50</u> |
| Total manufacturing cost | <u>\$</u> 887.50 |

3. Computing underapplied/overapplied overhead:

| Actual machine-hours (a) | 147,000 |
|---|--------------|
| Predetermined overhead rate (b) | \$10.25 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b) | \$1,506,750 |
| Actual manufacturing overhead | \$1,325,000 |
| Manufacturing overhead applied | 1,506,750 |
| Overapplied overhead | \$ (181,750) |

The closing entry would decrease cost of goods sold by \$181,750 and increase net operating income by \$181,750.

Note to Instructors: Comparing the "take two" results to the original results enables you to discuss the concept of a death spiral. When the

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-12 (continued)

denominator volume drops and fixed overhead remains unchanged, the predetermined overhead rate increases. This increases the amount of overhead applied to all jobs. If Kody uses cost-plus pricing, the price assigned to all jobs will increase. If some customers reject Kody's higher prices and take their business elsewhere, the denominator volume will continue to decline and the predetermined overhead rate will continue to climb; thereby, initiating a death spiral.
Exercise 2-13 (15 minutes)

| 1. Actual manufacturing overhead costs \$48,000 Manufacturing overhead applied: | |
|---|-----------|
| 10,000 MH × \$5 per MH $50,000$ Overapplied overhead cost $\frac{50,000}{\frac{5}(2,000)}$ |) |
| 2. Direct materials: | |
| Raw materials inventory, beginning | |
| Add: Purchases of raw materials | |
| Raw materials available for use | |
| Raw materials used in production | \$ 36,000 |
| Direct labor | 40,000 |
| Manufacturing overhead cost applied to work | , |
| in process | 50,000 |
| Total manufacturing cost | 126,000 |
| Add: Work in process, beginning | 6,000 |
| | 132 000 |
| Deduct: Work in process, ending | 7,500 |
| Cost of goods manufactured | \$124,500 |
| 5 | + == ., |

Note to Instructors: Using the "take two" data, ask students to calculate the cost of goods manufactured without preparing the corresponding schedule. They should see that, if all else holds constant, a \$3,000 increase in the purchase of raw materials creates a \$3,000 increase in the cost of goods manufactured. Given that the cost of goods manufactured in the original data set is \$121,500, the cost of goods manufactured in the "take two" scenario is \$124,500.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-17 (30 minutes)

1. The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Y = | \$106,250 | + \$0.80 | per MH | × 85,000 | MHs |
|-----|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----|
|-----|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----|

| Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead | \$106,250 |
|---|------------------|
| Estimated variable manufacturing overhead | |
| \$0.80 per MH × 85,000 MHs | <u>68,000</u> |
| Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost | <u>\$174,250</u> |

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

| Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) | \$174,250 |
|--|---------------|
| Estimated total machine-hours (b) | 85,000 MHs |
| Predetermined overhead rate (a) \times (b) | \$2.05 per MH |

2. The amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process for the year would be: 80,000 machine-hours × \$2.05 per machine-hour = \$164,000. This amount is shown in entry (a) below:

| | Manufacturing Overhead |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| (Utilities) | 14,000 (a) 164,000 |
| (Insurance) | 9,000 |
| (Maintenance) | 33,000 |
| (Indirect materials) | 7,000 |
| (Indirect labor) | 65,000 |
| (Depreciation) | 40,000 |
| Balance | 4,000 |
| | Work in Process |
| (Direct materials) | 530,000 |
| (Direct labor) | 85,000 |
| (Overhead) | (a) 164,000 |

3. Overhead is underapplied by \$4,000 for the year, as shown in the Manufacturing Overhead account above. The entry to close out this balance to Cost of Goods Sold would be:

| Cost of Goods Sold | 4,000 | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|
| Manufacturing Overhead | | 4,000 |

Exercise 2-17 (continued)

4. When overhead is applied using a predetermined rate based on machine-hours, it is assumed that overhead cost is proportional to machine-hours. When the actual level of activity turns out to be 80,000 machine-hours, the costing system assumes that the overhead will be 80,000 machine-hours × \$2.05 per machine-hour, or \$164,000. This is a drop of \$6,000 from the initial estimated total manufacturing overhead cost of \$170,000. However, the actual total manufacturing overhead did not drop by this much. The actual total manufacturing overhead was \$168,000—a drop of only \$2,000 from the estimate. The manufacturing overhead did not decline by the full \$6,000 because of the existence of fixed costs and/or because overhead spending was not under control. These issues will be covered in more detail in later chapters.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.